



Friday, 6 September 2019

## Weekly Intellectual Property Law A Weekly Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia covering Intellectual Property Law

 Follow @Benchmark\_Legal

### Search Engine

[Click here](#) to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

### Executive Summary (1 minute read)

**Merck Sharp & Dohme Corporation v Wyeth LLC (FCA)** - patent - parties sought to set aside Notices to Produce served on each other - applicants excused from compliance with respondent's Notice to Produce - respondent excused from certain paragraphs of applicants' Notice to Produce



## Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

### **Merck Sharp & Dohme Corporation v Wyeth LLC [2019] FCA 1421**

Federal Court of Australia

Burley J

Patent - patent infringement proceedings - parties, by interlocutory applications, sought to set aside Notices to Produce which each served on the other - whether to grant 'relief from compliance' in respect of either Notice to Produce - held: applicants excused from compliance with respondent's Notice to Produce - respondent excused from certain paragraphs of applicants' Notice to Produce.

[Merck](#)

[From Benchmark Tuesday, 3 September 2019]

# Benchmark

**From: The Hunting of the Snark**

**By: Lewis Carroll**

**Fit the Sixth**

**The Barrister's Dream**

They sought it with thimbles, they sought it with care;  
They pursued it with forks and hope;  
They threatened its life with a railway-share;  
They charmed it with smiles and soap.

But the Barrister, weary of proving in vain  
That the Beaver's lace-making was wrong,  
Fell asleep, and in dreams saw the creature quite plain  
That his fancy had dwelt on so long.

He dreamed that he stood in a shadowy Court,  
Where the Snark, with a glass in its eye,  
Dressed in gown, bands, and wig, was defending a pig  
On the charge of deserting its sty.

The Witnesses proved, without error or flaw,  
That the sty was deserted when found:  
And the Judge kept explaining the state of the law  
In a soft under-current of sound.

The indictment had never been clearly expressed,  
And it seemed that the Snark had begun,  
And had spoken three hours, before any one guessed  
What the pig was supposed to have done.

The Jury had each formed a different view  
(Long before the indictment was read),  
And they all spoke at once, so that none of them knew  
One word that the others had said.

"You must know—" said the Judge: but the Snark exclaimed  
"Fudge!"

That statute is obsolete quite!  
Let me tell you, my friends, the whole question depends  
On an ancient manorial right.



# Benchmark

"In the matter of Treason the pig would appear  
To have aided, but scarcely abetted:  
While the charge of Insolvency fails, it is clear,  
If you grant the plea 'never indebted.'

"The fact of Desertion I will not dispute;  
But its guilt, as I trust, is removed  
(So far as relates to the costs of this suit)  
By the Alibi which has been proved.

"My poor client's fate now depends on your votes."  
Here the speaker sat down in his place,  
And directed the Judge to refer to his notes  
And briefly to sum up the case.

But the Judge said he never had summed up before;  
So the Snark undertook it instead,  
And summed it so well that it came to far more  
Than the Witnesses ever had said!

When the verdict was called for, the Jury declined,  
As the word was so puzzling to spell;  
But they ventured to hope that the Snark wouldn't mind  
Undertaking that duty as well.

So the Snark found the verdict, although, as it owned,  
It was spent with the toils of the day:  
When it said the word "GUILTY!" the Jury all groaned,  
And some of them fainted away.

Then the Snark pronounced sentence, the Judge being  
quite  
Too nervous to utter a word:  
When it rose to its feet, there was silence like night,  
And the fall of a pin might be heard.

"Transportation for life" was the sentence it gave,  
"And then to be fined forty pound."  
The Jury all cheered, though the Judge said he feared  
That the phrase was not legally sound.



# Benchmark

But their wild exultation was suddenly checked  
When the jailer informed them, with tears,  
Such a sentence would have not the slightest effect,  
As the pig had been dead for some years.

The Judge left the Court, looking deeply disgusted:  
But the Snark, though a little aghast,  
As the lawyer to whom the defence was intrusted,  
Went bellowing on to the last.

Thus the Barrister dreamed, while the bellowing seemed  
To grow every moment more clear:  
Till he woke to the knell of a furious bell,  
Which the Bellman rang close at his ear.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lewis\\_Carroll](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lewis_Carroll)

[Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine](#)