

Friday, 13 July 2018

## Weekly Banking Law Review Selected from our Daily Bulletins covering Banking

 Follow @Benchmark\_Legal

### Search Engine

[Click here](#) to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

### CIVIL (Insurance, Banking, Construction & Government)

### Executive Summary (1 minute read)

**Homart Pharmaceuticals Pty Ltd v Careline Australia Pty Ltd** (FCAFC) - consumer law - misleading or deceptive conduct - cross-claim upheld against applicant/appellant - appeal dismissed

**Lim v Cho** (NSWCA) - negligence - appellant passenger injured when he "leapt from" vehicle driven by respondent - no breach of duty by respondent - appeal dismissed

**Benson v Rational Entertainment Enterprises Ltd (No 2)** (NSWCA) - costs - Calderbank offer - offer of compromise - appeal allowed in part - determination of costs of appeal and costs at first instance

**State of New South Wales v Thomlinson** (NSWCA) - assault - false imprisonment - malicious prosecution - State's appeal upheld - new trial ordered on certain issues

**Deputy Commissioner of Taxation (Cth) v Bourke** (VSC) - summary judgment - taxation - wills and estates - grant of summary judgment for possession of property and permission to sell property - appeal dismissed

**Coles Supermarket Australia Pty Ltd v Harris** (ACTCA) - negligence - employer's duty of care - employee injured while dismounting step provided by employer - employer liable - no contributory negligence - appeal dismissed

## Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

### **Homart Pharmaceuticals Pty Ltd v Careline Australia Pty Ltd [2018] FCAFC 105**

Full Court of the Federal Court of Australia

Murphy, Gleeson & Markovic JJ

Consumer law - misleading or deceptive conduct - primary judge dismissed applicant/appellant's claim against respondents and found in favour of first respondent on cross-claim - primary judge found applicant/appellant, in contravention of s18 Australian Consumer Law, engaged in misleading or deceptive conduct 'by selling and otherwise dealing in bio-placenta oil skin care products' - primary judge found 'packaging and get-up' used by applicant/appellant 'deceptively similar' to first respondent's packaging or get up - whether erroneous finding that "different prominent brand name" on applicant/appellant's packaging not sufficient to distinguish its goods - whether incorrect application of *Australian Woollen Mills Limited v FS Walton & Co Limited* [1937] HCA 51 - whether erroneous assessment concerning whether respondents had engaged in misleading or deceptive conduct - whether erroneous failure to distinguish applicant/appellant's 'three-ampoule products' from 'six-ampoule counterparts' - whether erroneous failure 'to consider absence of evidence of relevant confusion' - held: appeal dismissed.

[Homart](#)

[From Benchmark Tuesday, 10 July 2018]

### **Lim v Cho [2018] NSWCA 145**

Court of Appeal of New South Wales

Leeming JA; Sackville & Emmett AJJA

Negligence - appellant passenger injured when he "leapt from" vehicle driven by his wife (respondent) - appellant contended respondent negligently failed to apply brakes when she observed he was "about to exit the vehicle" - primary judge dismissed appellant's claim - 'principal factual question' in dispute at trial was the speed which vehicle was travelling at when appellant leapt from vehicle - primary judge found vehicle "was moving at a speed of 50 kilometres per hour or thereabouts", a finding which was not challenged on appeal - *Civil Liability Act 2002* (NSW) - *Motor Accidents Compensation Act 1999* (NSW) - nature and scope of duty - whether breach of duty - *Jones v Dunkel* (1959) 101 CLR 298 - whether injuries 'likely to have been less severe' if speed had been reduced - held: Court proceeded on basis respondent's duty to appellant included a 'duty to take care to avoid or minimise harm to a passenger resulting from the passenger's own deliberate actions' - primary judge did not err in finding there was no breach of duty by appellant - appeal dismissed.

[View Decision](#)

[From Benchmark Tuesday, 10 July 2018]

### **Benson v Rational Entertainment Enterprises Ltd (No 2) [2018] NSWCA 148**

Court of Appeal of New South Wales

Beazley P; Leeming JA & Emmett AJA

Costs - Court allowed appellant's appeal in part - appellant succeeded against fourth respondent - claims against first to third respondents dismissed - outstanding issues were as to costs of appeal and costs at first instance - in respect of appeal costs, appellant sought indemnity costs order in reliance on Calderbank letter which respondents had rejected - in respect of costs at first instance, appellant sought indemnity costs order against fourth respondents in reliance on offer of compromise which respondents rejected - appellant contended r42.34 *Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005* (NSW) did not apply because claim was "warranted" - whether rejection of offers reasonable - whether fourth respondent should bear costs - whether r42.34 applied to costs at first instance - whether offers capable of being accepted 'only by all' respondents - held: fourth defendant to pay costs at first instance - fourth respondent to pay costs of appeal, excluding 'costs of submissions on the form of the judgment, parties and costs' - costs orders made.

[View Decision](#)

[From Benchmark Thursday, 12 July 2018]

## **State of New South Wales v Thomlinson [2018] NSWCA 151**

Court of Appeal of New South Wales

Meagher, Leeming & Payne JJA

Assault - false imprisonment - malicious prosecution - police officers 'forcibly removed' respondent from licensed premises, in course of which they 'arrested, handcuffed and searched' respondent - other officers drove respondent in "caged" police vehicle to bus stop - proceedings brought against respondent for "excluded person fail to leave premises when required" • offence - charge 'withdrawn and dismissed' - respondent sued appellant for assault, false imprisonment and malicious prosecution - Court upheld claims for assault and false imprisonment and dismissed malicious prosecution claim - appellant appealed - s 77(2)(a) *Liquor Act 2007* (NSW) - 'licensee's power' - 'intoxicated' - 'quarrelsome' - four assault claims - held: appellant succeeded in relation to first and second assaults - primary judge erred in relation to 'comprehension and evaluation' of evidence in relation to 'second assault' such that redetermination of issues was required - challenges in respect of third and fourth assaults depended on outcome in respect of second assault - whether appellant was 'ultimately successful' depended on further trial's outcome - appeal allowed.

[View Decision](#)

[From Benchmark Friday, 13 July 2018]

## **Deputy Commissioner of Taxation (Cth) v Bourke [2018] VSC 380**

Supreme Court of Victoria

Cameron J

Summary judgment - taxation - wills and estates - plaintiffs were Deputy Commissioner of Taxation of the Commonwealth of Australia - plaintiffs sought possession of property - Associate judge granted summary judgment for property's possession and declared first plaintiff entitled to sell property - deceased had been registered proprietor of property - defendants were executors appointed by deceased - one defendant currently in possession of property - whether

# Benchmark

erroneous refusal of adjournment of summary judgment application in breach of natural justice and procedural fairness - whether erroneous failure to 'accord fair hearing' under s24 *Charter of Human Rights and Responsibilities Act 2006* (Vic) - whether erroneous finding there was no real prospect of defending proceeding - whether matter should be referred to trial - public interest - res judicata - issue estoppel - held: appeal was without merit - appeal dismissed.

[Deputy Commissioner of Taxation](#)

[From Benchmark Friday, 13 July 2018]

## **Coles Supermarket Australia Pty Ltd v Harris [2018] ACTCA 25**

Court of Appeal of the Australian Capital Territory

Mossop, Loukas-Karlsson & Charlesworth JJ

Negligence - employer's duty of care - respondent employee injured in course of employment when she fell from step ('Safe-T-Step') while dismounting it sideways - Safe-T-Step had been provided to respondent by appellant employer - trial judge found appellant liable in negligence and found no contributory negligence on respondent's part - appellant appealed - probability of injury - whether standard of care required 'training and supervision' of employee with respect to step - whether 'safe manner' of step's use was obvious - ss43 to 44 *Civil Law (Wrongs) Act 2002* (ACT) - held: challenges to primary judge's conclusions rejected - appeal dismissed.

[Coles Supermarkets](#)

[From Benchmark Thursday, 12 July 2018]

## CRIMINAL

### Executive Summary

**Commissioner of Corrective Services v Liristis** (NSWCA) - criminal law - jurisdiction - Court not empowered to grant orders in relation to respondent's access to, and permission to use, printer/scanner and laptop - appeal allowed

**Audsley v The Queen** (VSCA) - criminal law - aggravated burglary - common assault - recklessly causing serious injury - armed robbery - appeal against conviction dismissed

### Summaries With Link

## **Commissioner of Corrective Services v Liristis [2018] NSWCA 143**

Court of Appeal of New South Wales

Beazley P; Basten JA & White JA

Criminal law - jurisdiction - respondent was facing sexual assault charges and was in Long Bay Correctional Complex - respondent claimed he was 'hampered in preparation for his trial' by

inability to access laptop and scanner/printer - primary judge granted respondent access to printer/scanner and laptop and permission to use them - Commissioner for Corrective Services sought to appeal - whether jurisdiction to make orders - whether orders supported by ss23 or 69 *Supreme Court Act 1970* (NSW) - inherent jurisdiction - power to deal with contempt - held: primary judge's orders were not supported by its power to deal with contempt, by its power to exercise jurisdiction for purposes of judicial review, or by its 'jurisdiction generally' - appeal allowed.

[View Decision](#)

## **Audsley v The Queen [2018] VSCA 162**

Court of Appeal of Victoria

Maxwell P, Priest & Beach JJA

Criminal law - applicant and another convicted of aggravated burglary, common assault[, recklessly causing serious injury and armed robbery - applicant sentenced to total effective sentence of six years and six months in prison with six years non-parole period - applicant contended that trial judge had not adequately directed jury concerning identification evidence, that convictions were unsafe and unsatisfactory, and that trial judge had erroneously admitted 'irrelevant and highly prejudicial evidence' against applicant - held: grounds of appeal were without merit - appeal dismissed.

[Audsley](#)



# Benchmark

## Heaven

By: Rupert Brooke

Fish (fly-replete, in depth of June,  
Dawdling away their wat'ry noon)  
Ponder deep wisdom, dark or clear,  
Each secret fishy hope or fear.  
Fish say, they have their Stream and Pond;  
But is there anything Beyond?  
This life cannot be All, they swear,  
For how unpleasant if it were!  
One may not doubt that, somehow, Good  
Shall come of Water and of Mud;  
And, sure, the reverent eye must see  
A Purpose in Liquidity.  
We darkly know, by Faith we cry,  
The future is not Wholly Dry.  
Mud unto mud! – Death eddies near –  
Not here the appointed End, not here!  
But somewhere, beyond Space and Time,  
Is wetter water, slimier slime!  
And there (they trust) there swimmeth One  
Who swam ere rivers were begun,  
Immense, of fishy form and mind,  
Squamous, omnipotent and kind;  
And under that Almighty Fin,  
The littlest fish may enter in.  
Oh! Never fly conceals a hook,  
Fish say, in the Eternal Brook,  
But more than mundane weeds are there,  
And mud, celestially fair;  
Fat caterpillars drift around,  
And Paradisal grubs are found;  
Unfading moths, immortal flies,  
And the worm that never dies.  
And in that Heaven of all their wish,  
There shall be no more land, say fish.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rupert\\_Brooke](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rupert_Brooke)

[Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine](#)