

Thursday, 4 June 2015

Daily Insurance A Daily Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia

 Follow @Benchmark_Legal

Search Engine

[Click here](#) to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

Executive Summary (1 minute read)

State of NSW v McCarthy (NSWCA) - trespass - police officers' entry of premises occupied by respondent was lawful - appeal allowed

Commonwealth Bank of Australia v ACN 076 848 112 Pty Ltd (NSWSC) - corporations - voluntary administration - leave to proceed against company - access to documents relating to company's insurance arrangements refused

James v Maxwell (QSC) - negligence - motorcyclist injured in collision with motor vehicle on bend in dirt road - driver of motor vehicle and insurer liable

Hodgson v Rio Tinto Aluminium Ltd (QSC) - workers compensation - declaration in relation to entitlement to seek damages for workplace injury refused

Benchmark

Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

State of NSW v McCarthy [2015] NSWCA 153

Court of Appeal of New South Wales

Meagher & Gleeson JJA

Trespass - primary judge held appellant liable for damages for trespass to land when four police officers entered premises occupied by respondent - Court granted leave to appeal - ss9, 10 & 201 *Law Enforcement (Powers and Responsibilities) Act 2002* (NSW) - whether primary judge erred in failing to find officers satisfied requirements in ss9 or 10 relating to exercise of power to enter - whether primary judge should have held that officers' entry unlawful because of failure to provide information required by s201 after exercising power - held: entry of each of the officers was lawfully authorised under ss9 or 10 - s201(2)(b) expressly provided that in case like present information in s201 must be provided after exercise of power - lawfulness of exercise not contingent on subsequent provision of information where information could not reasonably have been provided earlier - notice of contention rejected - appeal allowed.

[State](#)

Commonwealth Bank of Australia v ACN 076 848 112 Pty Ltd [2015] NSWSC 666

Supreme Court of New South Wales

Ball J

Corporations - bank sought and was granted leave under s444E(3) *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth) to proceed against first defendant subject of deed of company arrangement - bank also sought access to documents disclosing details of first defendant's professional indemnity insurance - bank had also served notice to produce and subpoena seeking access to the documents - first defendant sought to set aside notice - ss56-61 *Civil Procedure Act 2005* - held: production of documents relating to first defendant's insurance arrangements not justified by modern case management principles - not in interests of justice for insurance policies to be disclosed - notice set aside - balance of amended notice of motion dismissed.

[Commonwealth](#)

James v Maxwell [2015] QSC 149

Supreme Court of Queensland

Henry J

Negligence - motorcyclist sought damages for injuries to leg in collision on bend in dirt road with motor vehicle driven by first defendant - held: evidence compelled inference that first defendant was travelling too fast to manoeuvre vehicle around bend without encroaching into path of oncoming traffic - collision was entirely due to first defendant's negligent driving - no contributory negligence - second defendant insurer also liable in respect of damages - judgment for motorcyclist.

[James](#)

Hodgson v Rio Tinto Aluminium Ltd [2015] QSC 93

Supreme Court of Queensland
Boddice J

Workers compensation - applicant sought declaratory relief in relation to entitlement to seek damages workplace injury - whether applicant had applied for compensation under *Workers Compensation and Rehabilitation Act 2003* in respect of injury and if so whether second respondent made decision in relation to application or otherwise complied with statutory obligations - ss132, s 134, s 237, s 392, s 542 & 586 *Worker's Compensation and Rehabilitation Act 2003* - held: applicant had applied for compensation - applicant not entitled to declaration sought - second respondent did not make decision on application within time period specified by Act, but applicant subsequently notified of decision when provided with copy of letter - even if letter's reasons did not comply with Regulations, time commenced to run in respect of statutory right of review - applicant not entitled to the declarations in the alternative - application dismissed.

[Hodgson](#)

[Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine](#)