

Thursday, 27 August 2020

Daily Construction A Daily Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia

 Follow @Benchmark_Legal

Search Engine

[Click here](#) to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

Executive Summary (1 minute read)

Drive My Car Rental Pty Ltd v Gabriel (NSWCA) - motor vehicle collision - notices of motion - two 'related applications' by applicant and respondents - direction given for 'concurrent hearing' of application for leave to appeal and, if leave granted, appeal - stay refused

Cosco v Hutley (No 3) (NSWSC) - costs - interest - defamation - successful plaintiff sought indemnity costs order - defendant sought variation of interest rate - orders varied

Samios Plumbing Pty Ltd v John R Keith (NSW) Pty Ltd (NSWSC) - privilege - second cross-defendant claimed 'legal professional (and common interest privilege)' or 'settlement negotiation privilege' over document - notice of motion dismissed

MKP Management Pty Ltd v Shire of Kalamunda (WASCA) - *Building Act 2011* (WA) - 'demolition work' - criminal law - appellant convicted of contravention of s10(a) *Building Act 2011* (WA) - appeal allowed

Quayle v Smith & Nephew Surgical Pty Ltd (ACTSC) - preliminary discovery - negligence - consumer law - applicant alleged he was injured as result of receipt of 'two hip prostheses' - preliminary discovery orders against 'sponsor of the prostheses' granted with respect to certain documents

HN v NTCAT & Ors (NTSC) - administrative law - first respondent made orders under s11 *Guardianship of Adults Act 2016* (NT) - leave to appeal refused

Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

Drive My Car Rental Pty Ltd v Gabriel [2020] NSWCA 194

Court of Appeal of New South Wales

Gleeson JA

Motor vehicle collision - notices of motion - proceedings arising from damage sustained to motor vehicle allegedly owned by respondent - two 'related applications' by applicant and respondent to set orders of Registrar aside - Registrar, by orders, fixed 'concurrent hearing' of applicant's application for leave to appeal (leave application), if leave granted, the 'appeal itself' - applicant accepted there should be concurrent hearing - applicant sought that concurrent hearing occur after 'final determination of' 'proceedings below' - applicant sought to stay proceedings pending final determination of proceedings below - respondent sought separate hearing of leave application and appeal itself - 'case management decision' - 'need for the just, quick and cheap disposition of the real issues in dispute' - s59 *Civil Procedure Act 2005* (NSW) - held: direction given for concurrent hearing of leave application and, if leave granted, appeal; - stay refused.

[View Decision](#)

Cosco v Hutley (No 3) [2020] NSWSC 1125

Supreme Court of New South Wales

Rothman J

Costs - interest - defamation - Court gave judgment for plaintiff in sum of \$300,000 - Court made orders concerning 'interest and costs' - plaintiff sought indemnity costs order under s40 *Defamation Act 2005* (NSW) or under r42.14 *Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005* (NSW) - defendant sought variation of interest rate - ss100 & 101 *Civil Procedure Act 2005* (NSW) - *Polias v Ryall (No 2) [2015] NSWSC 1* - held: indemnity costs order granted - variation of interest rate granted - orders varied.

[View Decision](#)

Samios Plumbing Pty Ltd v John R Keith (NSW) Pty Ltd [2020] NSWSC 1128

Supreme Court of New South Wales

Hammerschlag J

Privilege - proceedings concerning single document's disclosure - second cross-defendant claimed 'legal professional (and common interest privilege)' or 'settlement negotiation privilege' over document ss119, 122(5)(c) & 131(1)(b) *Evidence Act 1995* (NSW) - 'dominant purpose' - whether 'voluntary disclosure' inconsistent with maintenance of privilege - whether document 'prepared in connection with an attempt to negotiate settlement' - held: notice of motion dismissed.

[View Decision](#)

MKP Management Pty Ltd v Shire of Kalamunda [2020] WASCA 130

Court of Appeal of Western Australia

Buss P; Mazza & Vaughan JJA

Benchmark

Building Act 2011 (WA) - 'demolition work' - criminal law - appellant convicted of contravention of s10(a) *Building Act 2011* (WA) - appellant fined and ordered to pay costs of respondent - Court made 'spent conviction order' - appeal against conviction dismissed even though Court found magistrate erred in fact and law - judge found there was no 'substantial miscarriage of justice' - appellant appealed - whether erroneous finding of no substantial miscarriage of justice - whether erroneous finding that conviction 'could have been sustained under' s7(d) Criminal Code (WA) - held: appeal allowed.

[MKP Management](#)

Quayle v Smith & Nephew Surgical Pty Ltd [2020] ACTSC 229

Supreme Court of the Australian Capital Territory

McWilliam AsJ

Preliminary discovery - negligence - consumer law - applicant alleged he was injured as result of receipt of 'two hip prostheses' - applicant sought preliminary discovery against 'sponsor of the prostheses' - 'necessary in the interests of justice' - whether 'potential cause of action' - whether 'reasonable inquiries' had been made - whether 'reasonable grounds for believing' sponsor possessed information which could assist in decision whether to bring proceedings - whether documents' inspection would help applicant decide whether to bring proceedings - *Arnaut v Arnaut* [2019] NSWSC 565 - *John Fairfax & Sons Ltd v Cojuangco* [1988] HCA 54 - *Central Exchange Ltd v Anaconda Nickel Ltd* [2002] WASCA 94 - r651 *Court Procedures Rules 2006* (ACT) - held: orders granted with respect to certain documents.

[Quayle](#)

HN v NTCAT & Ors [2020] NTSC 48

Supreme Court of the Northern Territory

Hiley J

Administrative law - first respondent made orders under s11 *Guardianship of Adults Act 2016* (NT) concerning guardianship of personal and financial matters of 'CNN' - applicant sought to appeal against orders - ss140 & 141 *Northern Territory Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act 2014* (NT) - applicant contended he was 'not informed of his right to ask questions' - applicant also complained concerning first respondent's 'dealings with' photographs and evidence in relation to breakdown of relationship between UNN and her children - procedural fairness - held: leave to appeal refused.

[HN](#)

[Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine](#)