

Friday, 18 March 2016

# Daily Construction A Daily Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia

Sollow @Benchmark\_Legal

# Search Engine

<u>Click here</u> to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

## **Executive Summary (1 minute read)**

**Krongold Constructions (Aust) v SR & RS Wales** (VSC) - security of payments - adjudicator's determination invalid - determination quashed

**Kenney v Commonwealth Bank of Australia** (WASCA) - summary judgment - mortgage - sale of land - appellant to pay respondent sum and deliver vacant possession of properties - leave to appeal refused - appeal dismissed

AR Conolly & Company Lawyers 36-38 Young Street Sydney NSW 2000 Phone: 02 9333 3600 Fax: 02 9333 3601 www.arconolly.com.au



# Benchmark

# Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

#### Krongold Constructions (Aust) v SR & RS Wales [2016] VSC 94

Supreme Court of Victoria

Vickery J

Security of payments - plaintiff sought to quash adjudicator's determination under *Building and Construction Industry Security of Payment Act 2002* (Vic) that plaintiff required to pay defendant amount of payment claim - held: defendant's notice pursuant to s18(2) served on plaintiff was invalid - Court not satisfied invoices and supporting documentation identified construction work with sufficient clarity or that valuation process which adjudicator adopted met Act's requirements - adjudicator had only part of claim before him so adjudicator not in position to comply with s23(2)(c) - determination quashed. <u>Krongold</u>

#### Kenney v Commonwealth Bank of Australia [2016] WASCA 44

Court of Appeal of New South Wales

Buss, Newnes & Murphy JJA

Summary judgment - mortgage - sale of land - Master ordered appellant to pay respondent sum and deliver vacant possession of properties mortgaged to respondent - appellant contended Master should have granted order for foreclosure - appellant sought to adduce additional evidence and required extension of time to appeal - *Transfer of Land Act 1893* (WA) - *Property Law Act 1969* (WA) - held: no error in refusal of application for foreclosure - contention failed that primary judge erred in granting judgment to respondent without distinction between amount secured by mortgage and unsecured amount - Master entitled to reject appellant's contention he did not agree to advance's terms - contention on basis of unconscionable conduct failed - leave to appeal refused - appeal dismissed. <u>Kenney</u>

> AR Conolly & Company Lawyers 36-38 Young Street Sydney NSW 2000 Phone: 02 9333 3600 Fax: 02 9333 3601 www.arconolly.com.au



# Benchmark

#### I would not paint — a picture — (348) BY EMILY DICKINSON

I would not paint — a picture — I'd rather be the One It's bright impossibility To dwell — delicious — on — And wonder how the fingers feel Whose rare — celestial — stir — Evokes so sweet a torment — Such sumptuous — Despair —

I would not talk, like Cornets — I'd rather be the One Raised softly to the Ceilings — And out, and easy on — Through Villages of Ether — Myself endued Balloon By but a lip of Metal — The pier to my Pontoon —

Nor would I be a Poet — It's finer — Own the Ear — Enamored — impotent — content — The License to revere, A privilege so awful What would the Dower be, Had I the Art to stun myself With Bolts — of Melody!

**EMILY DICKINSON** 

### Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine

AR Conolly & Company Lawyers 36-38 Young Street Sydney NSW 2000 Phone: 02 9333 3600 Fax: 02 9333 3601 www.arconolly.com.au