

Tuesday, 16 April 2019

Daily Construction A Daily Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia

 Follow @Benchmark_Legal

Search Engine

[Click here](#) to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

Executive Summary (1 minute read)

Australian Building and Construction Commissioner v Construction, Forestry, Maritime, Mining and Energy Union (The Nine Brisbane Sites Appeal) (FCAFC) - industrial law - proceedings concerning 'strikes and stop-work' meetings - s417 *Fair Work Act 2009* (Cth) - penalties - appeal allowed in part

Local Democracy Matters Incorporated v Infrastructure NSW (NSWCA) - environment and planning - development consent granted for work including football stadium's demolition - appeal dismissed

De Bono v Victorian WorkCover Authority (VSCA) - accident compensation - refusal of leave to commence proceeding to claim 'pecuniary loss damages' set aside - appeal allowed

Delta Pty Ltd v Mechanical and Construction Insurance Pty Ltd (QCA) - insurance - appellant unsuccessfully claimed under insurance policy - claims arose from breach of contract by appellant's subcontractor - appellant claimed as insured's assignee or as an insured under policy - appeal dismissed

Mills v Return to Work Corporation of South Australia (SASC) - workers compensation - injury suffered in car accident while 'driving home from work' - 'real and substantial connection' - permission to appeal from decision of Full Bench of the South Australian Employment Tribunal granted

Benchmark

Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

Australian Building and Construction Commissioner v Construction, Forestry, Maritime, Mining and Energy Union (The Nine Brisbane Sites Appeal) [2019] FCAFC 59

Full Court of the Federal Court of Australia

Allsop CJ; Griffiths & Rangiah JJ

Industrial law - proceedings concerning 'strikes and stop-work meetings' at construction sites - appellant contended that respondents, 'by organising' the strikes and stop-work meetings, contravened ss346(b), 355, & 417 *Fair Work Act 2009* (Cth) (Fair Work Act) - respondents denied stop-work meetings had contravened of s417 Fair Work Act but otherwise admitted allegations - primary judge found stop-work meetings did not contravene s417 - primary judge imposed penalties on first respondent for 'admitted contraventions' - whether erroneous finding of no contravention of s417 Fair Work Act - whether failure to 'impose adequate penalties' - held: appeal allowed in part.

[Australian Building and Construction Commissioner](#)

Local Democracy Matters Incorporated v Infrastructure NSW [2019] NSWCA 65

Court of Appeal of New South Wales

Leeming JA; Sackville & Emmett AJJA

Environment and planning - Land and Environment Court of New South Wales dismissed appellant's challenge to third respondent's decision to grant development consent for work including football stadium's demolition - appellant appealed pursuant to s58(1) *Land and Environment Court Act 1979* (NSW) - whether third respondent's 28 day 'public exhibition' of 'Concept DA' breached *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (NSW) - whether third respondent did not form opinion concerning 'design excellence', which cl 6.21(3) Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2012 (Sydney LEP) required - whether third respondent, when consenting to Concept DA, failed to comply with cl 7 State Environmental Planning Policy No 55 - Remediation of Land (SEPP 55) - held: appeal dismissed.

[View Decision](#)

De Bono v Victorian WorkCover Authority [2019] VSCA 85

Court of Appeal of Victoria

Priest, Beach & McLeish JJA

Accident compensation - applicant, pursuant to s134AB(16)(b) *Accident Compensation Act 1985* (Vic), sought, in reliance on s134AB(37) of the Act, to bring proceeding to claim damages for injury - primary judge granted applicant leave to claim for recovery of 'pain and suffering' damages, but not 'pecuniary loss damages' - adequacy of reasons - whether erroneous failure to determine whether applicant had 'full or part time employment' capacity - whether erroneous findings concerning earnings - whether erroneous findings concerning 'pecuniary loss consequences' - 'permanent serious impairment or loss of a body function' - held: appeal allowed - primary judge's refusal of leave to commence proceeding to claim pecuniary loss damages set aside.

[De Bono](#)

Delta Pty Ltd v Mechanical and Construction Insurance Pty Ltd [2019] QCA 62

Court of Appeal of Queensland

Fraser & McMurdo JJA; Flanagan J

Insurance - contract - proceedings concerning whether insurance policy which respondent issued covered claims which appellant made - appellant's claims arose from breach of contract by appellant's subcontractor - appellant made claim as assignee of subcontractor's 'claimed right to an indemnity' of "Settlement Amount" under 'settlement deed', or amount assessed by court - alternatively appellant claimed as an insured under policy for costs of 'temporary protective repairs' - primary judge gave judgment for respondent - construction of policy - construction of settlement deed - whether subcontractor liable under settlement deed to pay Settlement Amount - whether Settlement Amount reasonable - whether subcontractor was liable to pay amount 'in compensation of Property Loss' - whether appellant was an insured under 'schedule of cover' - held: appeal dismissed.

[Delta](#)

Mills v Return to Work Corporation of South Australia [2019] SASC 56

Supreme Court of South Australia

Hinton J

Workers compensation - applicant injured in car accident while 'driving home from work' - applicant claimed compensation under *Workers Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1986* (SA) - Return to Work SA rejected claim - application concerned question whether there was a 'real and substantial connection between' applicant's employment and accident - applicant sought 'permission to appeal' from decision of Full Bench of the South Australian Employment Tribunal - applicant contended Full Bench has misconstrued Tribunal's reasons and erroneously concluded Tribunal's reasons were adequate - interests of justice - held: permission to appeal granted.

[Mills](#)

[Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine](#)