



Wednesday, 9 March 2016

Daily Construction A Daily Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia

 Follow @Benchmark_Legal

Search Engine

[Click here](#) to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

Executive Summary (1 minute read)

Kennedy (Appellant) v Cordia (Services) LLP (Respondent) (Scotland) (UKSC) - negligence - home-carer injured when she slipped on snow-covered icy foot path outside client's house - employer's failure to provide footwear attachments was breach of *Personal Protective Equipment at Work Regulations 1992* and negligence at common law - appeal allowed

Palmer Petroleum Pty Ltd v BGP Geopexplorer Pte. Ltd (QSC) - corporations - statutory demand - no genuine dispute or other reason to set aside statutory demand established - application dismissed

Benchmark

Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

Kennedy (Appellant) v Cordia (Services) LLP (Respondent) (Scotland) [2016] UKSC 6

Supreme Court of the United Kingdom

Lady Hale, Deputy President; Lord Wilson, Lord Reed, Lord Toulson & Lord Hodge

Negligence - appellant employed by respondent as home carer - appellant injured wrist when she slipped on sloping public footpath covered in snow overlying ice outside client's house - appellant was wearing flat boots with ridged soles when she slipped and fell - employer's duty of care - risk assessments - precautions - causation - admissibility of expert evidence on health and safety practice - held: employer's failure to provide footwear attachments to appellant constituted both a breach of *Personal Protective Equipment at Work Regulations 1992* and negligence at common law - appeal allowed.

[Kennedy](#)

Palmer Petroleum Pty Ltd v BGP Geexplorer Pte. Ltd [2016] QSC 33

Supreme Court of Queensland

Boddice J

Corporations - statutory demand - applicant sought to set aside respondent's statutory demand pursuant to s459G *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth) - whether genuine dispute or "some other reason" to set aside demand - ss459H & 459J - held: genuine dispute about existence or amount of debt not established - applicant's failure to comply with demand was due to financial inability to do so - no other reason to set aside demand - application dismissed.

[Palmer](#)

[Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine](#)