

Friday, 7 December 2018

Daily Construction A Daily Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia

 Follow @Benchmark_Legal

Search Engine

[Click here](#) to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

Executive Summary (1 minute read)

Coshott v Prentice (No 2) (FCAFC) - costs - three appeals heard together and dismissed - appellant in each appeal ordered to pay respondent's costs - application for lump sum costs order dismissed

Noori v Topaz Fine Foods (VSCA) - accident compensation - fall from stepladder in work accident - appeal against dismissal of 'serious injury application' - 'narrative test' satisfied - appeal allowed

Chibanda v Chief Executive, Queensland Health & Anor (QCA) - security for costs - judicial review - application for extension of time to appeal against refusal of extension of time to seek statutory order of review - first respondent granted security for costs

GRD v BJD (WASC) - judgments and orders - corporations - plaintiff undischarged bankrupt sought leave to be director of corporation - application granted

Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

Coshott v Prentice (No 2) [2018] FCAFC 221

Full Court of the Federal Court of Australia

Kerr, Farrell & Gleeson JJ

Costs - Court dismissed three appeals heard together and ordered that each appellant pay respondent's costs - appellant sought lump sum costs order under r40.02(b) *Federal Court*

Benchmark

Rules 2011 (Cth) - appellant contended appeals were 'separate proceedings' and that a 'consolidated costs order' would unjustly result in each appellant's joint and several liability for the others' costs - whether lump sum costs order would 'fall foul of' s37M *Federal Court of Australia Act 1976* (Cth) - whether appropriate to make lump sum costs order - held: Court not satisfied to make lump sum costs order - Court concluded making a lump sum costs order would cover the three appeals' costs, thus wrongly imposing on appellants costs incurred in proceedings they were not party to - appeal dismissed.

[Coshott](#)

Noori v Topaz Fine Foods [2018] VSCA 323

Court of Appeal of Victoria

Maxwell P; Hargrave & T Forrest JJA

Accident compensation - applicant developed pain after falling from stepladder in work accident - no 'organic injury' to explain identified pain levels or function loss - applicant made 'serious injury application' under *Accident Compensation Act 1985* (Vic), contending pain was an 'aggravation or exacerbation' of his pre-existing psychiatric condition, with consequences satisfying 'serious injury' - primary judge accepted genuineness of applicant's account of the pain but rejected application, finding he had 'difficulty in disentangling those work-related symptoms' from totality of plaintiff's 'ongoing condition' - whether 'disentanglement' arose as question in respect of 'serious injury' definition - held: no dispute pain due to work accident - once primary judge accepted account of pain genuine, it 'had to be concluded' applicant had 'severe disturbance' with consequences satisfying 'narrative test' for serious injury - appeal allowed.

[Noori](#)

Chibanda v Chief Executive, Queensland Health & Anor [2018] QCA 334

Court of Appeal of Queensland

Gotterson JA

Security for costs - judicial review - applicant sought statutory order of review against first and second respondents - Court dismissed application - applicant had unsuccessfully cross-applied for extension of time to seek statutory order of review - applicant, under r748 *Uniform Civil Procedure Rules* (1999) (Rules), sought extension of time to appeal against dismissal of application for extension of time - first respondent, under r772 of the Rules, sought security for costs - applicant's 'financial position' - prospects of success - held: Court satisfied to grant security for costs in first respondent's favour.

[Chibanda](#)

GRD v BJD [2018] WASC 374

Supreme Court of Western Australia

Master Sanderson

Judgments and orders - corporations - plaintiff was undischarged bankrupt - plaintiff sought Court's leave under s206G *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth) to be director of corporation - Court's



Benchmark

power to grant leave to 'disqualified person' to 'manage a corporation' - whether plaintiff had complied with s206G(2)'s requirements - onus - circumstances of non-payments of debts - extent of plaintiff's cooperation with trustee in bankruptcy - held: Court satisfied to grant application.

[GRD](#)

Benchmark

Dream On

By: David Conolly

A child in a gallery sits,
takes paper and pencil,
and starts to draw.
The paintings around her
disappear.
They have served
their purpose.

womb of
They have sparked in her
the spirit to create –
the spirit formed in her
along with bone and blood
in the mystic dark of
her mother's womb –
humanity's sacred site.

Thus is passed
from age to age
imagination,
Dream
wonder,
passes
dreams.
unborn.

From another womb
in a faraway age
another child emerged
with a dream –
the Great Dream
of a world renewed by
peace and justice,
formed in the

love.

He said that children
show us
what God is like.

No wonder
we celebrate
his birth.

No wonder that
still lives, and
to children yet

[Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine](#)