

Wednesday, 28 June 2017

AR CONOLLY & COMPANY

Daily Civil Law Review A Daily Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia

Sollow @Benchmark_Legal

Search Engine

<u>Click here</u> to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

Executive Summary (1 minute read)

Donald J. Trump, President of the United States, Et Al. No. 16–1436 (16A1190) v. International Refugee Assistance Project, Et Al. (SCOTUS) - interlocutory injunction - U.S Constitutional law - Executive Order No. 13780, Protecting the Nation From Foreign Terrorist Entry Into the United States - Government's application for stay of preliminary injunctions barring enforcement of order granted in part (I B C G)

White (Trustee), in the matter of Vlahos (Bankrupt) v Ljubicic (FCA) - summary judgment - bankruptcy - transfers from bankrupt to respondent were void against trustees - declaration and orders made (B)

Aquagenics Pty Limited (in liquidation) v Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's Subscribing to Contract Number NCP106108663 (No 2) (FCA) - costs - indemnity costs - Calderbank offer - respondents not unreasonable to reject applicant's offer to settle - indemnity costs refused (I B C G)

Optus Administration Pty Limited v Glenn Wright by his tutor James Stuart Wright (No 2) (NSWCA) - interest - costs - restitution - appropriate to grant interest on principal sum parties to pay own costs of motion (I B C G)

Trembath v Trembath (VSC) - summary judgment - testator's family maintenance - contention that plaintiff was deceased's stepchild was not fanciful - summary judgment refused (B)

AR Conolly & Company Lawyers 36-38 Young Street Sydney NSW 2000 Phone: 02 9333 3600 Fax: 02 9333 3601 www.arconolly.com.au

Benchmark

Slea Pty Ltd v Connective Services Pty Ltd & Ors (VSC) - privilege - client legal privilege - objection of plaintiff and subpoenaed party to production of documents upheld (I B C G)

Bennett v Talacko (VSCA) - conspiracy - unlawful means conspiracy - no failure to establish pecuniary loss - tort of unlawful means conspiracy established - appeal allowed (I B C G)

Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

Donald J. Trump, President of the United States, Et Al. No. 16–1436 (16A1190) v. International Refugee Assistance Project, Et Al. : 582 U. S. (2017)

Supreme Court of the United States

Per Curiam

Interlocutory injunction - U.S Constitutional law - President Trump signed Executive Order Executive Order No. 13780, Protecting the Nation From Foreign Terrorist Entry Into the United States, which altered practices concerning foreign nationals' entry to United States respondents obtained preliminary injunctions barring enforcement of provisions of order, including provision which suspended entry of national from six countries for 90 days -Government sought stay of preliminary injunctions - held: Government's applications to stay preliminary injunctions granted to extent that they prevented enforcement with respect to 'foreign nationals who lack any bona fide relationship with a person or entity in the United States' - stay application granted in part.

Donald J Trump (I B C G)

White (Trustee), in the matter of Vlahos (Bankrupt) v Ljubicic [2017] FCA 717

Federal Court of Australia

Beach J

Summary judgment - bankruptcy - trustees made application for summary judgment under s31A(1) *Federal Court of Australia Act 1976* (Cth) - trustees sought declarations and ancillary orders that transactions bankrupt entered, or relating to bankrupt's property, were void against trustees under s120 *Bankruptcy Act 1966* (Cth) - whether relevant payments were transfers of property by bankrupt to respondent in five years before 'the commencement of the bankruptcy' - whether transfers supported by consideration under s120 Bankruptcy Act - held: respondent had no reasonable prospect of successfully defending claim - summary judgment granted in trustees' favour.

White (B)

Aquagenics Pty Limited (in liquidation) v Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's Subscribing to Contract Number NCP106108663 (No 2) [2017] FCA 724

Federal Court of Australia Davies J Costs - indemnity costs - Court found that applicant entitled to be indemnified by respondents

> AR Conolly & Company Lawyers 36-38 Young Street Sydney NSW 2000 Phone: 02 9333 3600 Fax: 02 9333 3601 www.arconolly.com.au

AR CONOLLY & COMPANY

Benchmark

under insurance policy pursuant to s57 *Insurance Contracts Act 1984* (Cth) - applicant sought indemnity costs on basis of Calderbank offer which it made to respondents, and which respondents rejected - held: at time of offer, applicant had not pleaded certain entitlements to indemnity - offer was 'minimal compromise' measured against indemnity subsequently sought - even though applicant's offer was very favourable compared with judgment, respondents were not, in the circumstances, unreasonable to reject applicant's offer - indemnity costs refused.

Aquagenics (I B C G)

<u>Optus Administration Pty Limited v Glenn Wright by his tutor James Stuart Wright (No</u> <u>2)</u> [2017] NSWCA 154

Court of Appeal of New South Wales

Basten, Hoeben & Gleeson JJA

Interest - costs - restitution - Court allowed appeal by Optus and set aside orders of primary judge - Court made orders to effect Optus not liable to first respondent for injuries and not liable to indemnify second respondent for compensation payments it made to first respondent - Optus sought payment of principal sum by second respondent and interest - Optus had paid the principal sum to second respondent pursuant to primary judge's declaration - issues for determination were whether Optus entitled to interest on principal sum, and costs - whether demand for restitution and interest 'unreasonable in the circumstances' - Court's power to order restitution of money paid under judgment later set aside - Optus's failure to comply with r51.19 *Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005* (NSW) by omitting to claim restitution including interest in notice of appeal - s75A(10) *Supreme Court Act 1970* (NSW) - r51.54 of the Rules - s151Z(1)(d) *Workers Compensation Act 1987* (NSW) - held: Court concluded it was not appropriate to deny interest - Court declined to stay order for payment of interest, pending outcome of first respondent's special leave application to High Court - appropriate that each party pay own costs of motion - orders made. Optus Administration (I B C G)

Trembath v Trembath [2017] VSC 369

Supreme Court of Victoria

Lansdowne AsJ

Summary judgment - testator's family maintenance - family provision - plaintiff sought further provision from deceased's estate - plaintiff described deceased as his stepmother - defendant executor contended plaintiff had no real prospect of success in establishing he was deceased's stepchild at date of death because plaintiff's father had predeceased deceased - defendant contended death of father ended stepchild/stepparent relationship between plaintiff and deceased - s90 *Administration and Probate Act 1958* (Vic) - held: contention that plaintiff was a stepchild was not fanciful - Court not satisfied plaintiff had no real prospect of success because he could not be deceased's stepchild - summary judgment refused.

AR Conolly & Company Lawyers 36-38 Young Street Sydney NSW 2000 Phone: 02 9333 3600 Fax: 02 9333 3601 www.arconolly.com.au AR CONOLLY & COMPANY

Benchmark

Slea Pty Ltd v Connective Services Pty Ltd & Ors [2017] VSC 361

Supreme Court of Victoria Almond J

Privilege - client legal privilege - discovery - first, second and fourth to ninth defendants challenged objection of plaintiff and subpoenaed party, on ground of client legal privilege, to production of discovered documents - state of mind - relevance - waiver - confidentiality - dominant purpose - ss117, 118 & 119 *Evidence Act 2008* (Vic) - held: no loss of privilege in respect of any communications or documents - objection of plaintiff and subpoenaed party upheld.

Slea (I B C G)

Bennett v Talacko [2017] VSCA 163

Court of Appeal of Victoria

Beach & McLeish JJA; Keogh AJA

Conspiracy - unlawful means conspiracy - plaintiffs pursued causes of action in conspiracy to injure; unlawful means conspiracy; *Barnes v Addy* claims; inducing breach of contract; and claims in respect of two properties under s172 *Property Law Act 1958* (Vic) - primary judge found that the only claim made out was claim under s172 Property Law Act in respect of one property - second to fifth plaintiffs sought to appeal against decision in respect of unlawful means conspiracy - whether trial judge erred in finding unlawful conspiracy cause of action failed because plaintiffs did not establish element of suffering pecuniary loss - loss of opportunity - whether certain expenses constituted pecuniary loss - held: plaintiffs had 'perfected the tort of unlawful means conspiracy' by reference to heads of pecuniary loss - appeal allowed.

Bennett (I B C G)

Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine

AR Conolly & Company Lawyers 36-38 Young Street Sydney NSW 2000 Phone: 02 9333 3600 Fax: 02 9333 3601 www.arconolly.com.au AR CONOLLY & COMPANY