Thursday, 24 December 2020

Daily Civil Law A Daily Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia



Search Engine

<u>Click here</u> to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

CIVIL (Insurance, Banking, Construction & Government)
Executive Summary (1 minute read)

Communications, Electrical, Electronic, Energy, Information, Postal, Plumbing and Allied Services Union of Australia v Registered Organisations Commissioner (FCAFC) - industrial law - contravention of Fair Work (Registered Organisations) Act 2009 (Cth) - appeal against pecuniary penalty order - appeal allowed - penalties determined (I B C G)

Australian Energy Regulator v Snowtown Wind Farm Stage 2 Pty Ltd (FCA) - consumer law - declaration - civil penalty - 'compliance program' - admitted contravention of NER 4.4.3 & cl S5.2.2 National Electricity Rules (NER) - Court satisfied to make declaration and orders agreed upon by parties (I B C G)

F45 Training Pty Ltd v Body Fit Training Company Pty Ltd (NSWSC) - cross-vesting - misleading and deceptive conduct - passing off - trade mark - patent - first defendant and directors of first defendant sought proceedings' transfer to New South Wales Registry of Federal Court of Australia - notice of motion dismissed (I B C G)

Attorney-General v University of Tasmania (TASFC) - planning and development - judicial review - procedural fairness - refusal of amendment to Hobart Interim Planning Scheme 2015 - appeal against decision of primary judge to uphold judicial review application - appeal allowed (IBCG)



Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

Communications, Electrical, Electronic, Energy, Information, Postal, Plumbing and Allied Services Union of Australia v Registered Organisations Commissioner [2020] FCAFC 232

Full Court of the Federal Court of Australia

Bromberg, Rangiah & Bromwich JJ

Industrial law - Court declared appellant contravened ss230(1)(b) & (c) & 233(2) Fair Work (Registered Organisations) Act 2009 (Cth) - Court ordered appellant to pay penalty of \$445,000 - appellant appealed against pecuniary penalty order - whether erroneous failure to apply 'particular penalty to each contravention' - whether misunderstanding of totality principle - whether erroneous finding that 'no reduction was warranted' on basis 'course of conduct principle had already been applied' to some contraventions - whether mistake concerning facts - whether erroneous conclusion that 'specific deterrence relevant' - whether erroneous conclusion that some contraventions 'not part of a single course of conduct' - whether total penalty manifestly excessive - held: ground of appeal contending erroneous failure to apply particular penalty to each contravention upheld - penalty set aside - penalties determined - appellant to pay penalties in total of \$200,000.

Communications (I B C G)

<u>Australian Energy Regulator v Snowtown Wind Farm Stage 2 Pty Ltd</u> [2020] FCA 1845

Federal Court of Australia

White J

Consumer law - respondent admitted contravention of NER 4.4.3 & cl S5.2.2 National Electricity Rules (NER) - respondent admitted declaration should be made, that it was liable to civil penalty's imposition under s44AAG *Competition and Consumer Act 2010* (Cth), and admitted it was appropriate for Court to order imposition of 'compliance program' - parties agreed civil penalty of \$1 million appropriate and on orders' terms - whether Court satisfied it was 'appropriate to give effect to the parties' agreement' - held: Court satisfied to give effect to parties' agreement - Court to make orders in terms which parties proposed.

Australian Energy Regulator (I B C G)

F45 Training Pty Ltd v Body Fit Training Company Pty Ltd [2020] NSWSC 1879

Supreme Court of New South Wales

Emmett AJA

Cross-vesting - misleading and deceptive conduct - passing off - trade mark - patent - first defendant and directors of first defendant, under s5(1) *Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act* 1987 (NSW) or s5(1) *Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act* 1987 (Cth), sought proceedings' transfer to New South Wales Registry of Federal Court of Australia - plaintiff opposed application - interests of justice - "natural forum" - 'more appropriate forum' - whether 'more appropriate' for Federal Court to determine proceedings - "an experienced intellectual property court" - held: notice of motion dismissed.

View Decision (I B C G)



Attorney-General v University of Tasmania [2020] TASFC 12

Full Court of the Supreme Court of Tasmania Pearce & Geason JJ; Marshall AJ

Planning and development - judicial review - procedural fairness - respondent requested amendment to Hobart Interim Planning Scheme 2015 - Tasmanian Planning Commission rejected amendment - respondent sought judicial review - judicial review application upheld on basis that Commission, by failure to give respondent 'opportunity to be heard' on its application for assessment's deferral, had breached procedural fairness obligation - appellant appealed - respondent, by notice of contention, sought to uphold primary judge's decision on basis he erred in rejecting other grounds on which respondent had challenged decision of Commission - respondent also cross-appealed against primary judge's decision on costs - Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (Tas) - Russell v Duke of Norfolk [1949] 1 All ER 109 - Sullivan v Department of Transport [1978] FCA 48 - Kioa v West [1985] HCA 81 - held: appeal allowed. Attorney-General (I B C G)

Summaries With Link



Somewhere

By: David Conolly

Somewhere, unexpectedly, hope is born.

The voice speaks to a world grown used to darkness, despair.

A voice. At first, only the cry of a new-born gulping for breath.

The voice says, You are light for the world; Let it shine. Love, and forgive.

In time, a voice.

And suddenly, hope is born.

Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine