

Tuesday, 20 June 2017

Daily Civil Law Review A Daily Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia

 Follow @Benchmark_Legal

Search Engine

[Click here](#) to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

Executive Summary (1 minute read)

Deputy Commissioner of Taxation v A & S Services Australia Pty Ltd (No 2) (FCA) - corporations - winding up - orders made winding up corporate defendants on just and equitable ground (I B C G)

The Owners Strata Plan No 66375 v Suncorp Metway Insurance Ltd (No 2) (NSWSC) - building and construction - contract - agency - evidence - owners' corporation's claim in respect of defects in development dismissed (I B C G)

Mahmoud v Australian Broadcasting Corporation (No 3) (NSWSC) - defamation - vexatious proceedings - proceedings were 'manifestly hopeless' because truth defence would succeed - proceedings dismissed (I B C G)

CTI Joint Venture Company Pty Ltd v Cardno Hard & Forester Pty Limited; CRI Chatswood Pty Ltd (In Liquidation) (Receivers and Managers appointed) v Cardno Hard & Forester Pty Ltd (NSWSC) - discovery - justifications for discovery based on 'erroneous conception of both the case sought to be made out' - limited discovery granted to defendant (I B C G)

Nurisvan Investment Ltd v Anyoption Holdings (VSCA) - contract - deed - specific performance - Heads of Agreement was not a contract between parties to enter Share Sale Agreement - appeal allowed (I B C G)

Fairbank's Selected Seed Co Pty Ltd v Amar Produce Pty Ltd (VSCA) - trade practices - oral representations concerning suitability of seeds for crop - appellant engaged in misleading or deceptive conduct - appeal dismissed (I B C G)

Ugarin Pty Ltd v Lockyer Valley Regional Council (QSC) - administrative law - planning and environment - challenge to validity of reference to land use codes in descriptions of differential categories of land failed - application dismissed (I B C G)

Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

Deputy Commissioner of Taxation v A & S Services Australia Pty Ltd (No 2) [2017] FCA 663

Federal Court of Australia

Davies J

Corporations - winding up - just and equitable ground - provisional liquidators appointed to corporate defendants pursuant to s472(2) *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth) - Deputy Commissioner of Taxation sought that each corporate defendant be wound up on just and equitable ground under s461(1)(k) - 'phoenix' operation - held: defendants had not adduced evidence to oppose winding up applications - Court satisfied there was 'justifiable lack of confidence' in conduct and management of corporate defendants' affairs, thus risk of public interest warranting protection - orders made winding up corporate defendants on just and equitable ground.

[Deputy Commissioner of Taxation](#) (I B C G)

The Owners Strata Plan No 66375 v Suncorp Metway Insurance Ltd (No 2) [2017] NSWSC 739

Supreme Court of New South Wales

Ball J

Building and construction - agency - evidence - equity - plaintiff was owners corporation in respect of development involving conversion of warehouse owned by third and fourth defendants - builder was second defendant - first defendant provided home warranty insurance concerning works - fifth defendant was developer whose sole directors were third and fourth defendants - plaintiff claimed for defects in development - whether third and fourth defendants liable for defects on basis they were 'developers' under s3A *Home Building Act 1989* (NSW) - whether, if third and fourth defendants liable, liability extended to certain defects subject claim - whether third and fourth defendants were persons 'on whose behalf' building work done - whether third and fourth defendants were a party to building contract - whether loss claimed by plaintiff resulted from breach of statutory warranties - admissibility of evidence - s76A(1) *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (NSW) - s69 *Evidence Act 1995* (NSW) - ss3A, 18B & 18C *Home Building Act 1989* (NSW) - held: if third and fourth defendants were developers, they would be liable to plaintiff breaches of statutory warranties to extent builder liable to them for breaches - claim against third and fourth defendants dismissed - matter to be

relisted for determination of outstanding issues.

[The Owners Strata Plan](#) (I B C G)

Mahmoud v Australian Broadcasting Corporation (No 3) [2017] NSWSC 764

Supreme Court of New South Wales

McCallum J

Defamation - three applications in respect of amended statement of claim - in first application third and thirtieth defendants sought dismissal of proceedings on basis no reasonable cause of action disclosed - in second application eighth defendant contended plaintiff did not provide particulars of download of matter allegedly published by eighth defendant - in third application first defendant and journalist sought stay of proceedings pursuant to *Vexatious Proceedings Act 2008* (NSW) - cl 13(b) Defamation List Practice Note SC CL 4 - r 13.4 *Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005* (NSW) held: appropriate to determine third application first - Court satisfied that proceedings were 'manifestly hopeless' - no utility in allowing plaintiff to provide further particulars - proceedings dismissed.

[Mahmoud](#) (I B C G)

CTI Joint Venture Company Pty Ltd v Cardno Hard & Forester Pty Limited; CRI Chatswood Pty Ltd (In Liquidation) (Receivers and Managers appointed) v Cardno Hard & Forester Pty Ltd [2017] NSWSC 775

Supreme Court of New South Wales

McDougall J

Discovery - negligence - plaintiffs sued defendant in negligence in relation to its survey of development site and for misleading or deceptive conduct - plaintiff contended survey mislocated boundary of site, with result that building could not be built - defendant sought discovery of documents concerning advice given to plaintiffs during due diligence process - defendant contended it was entitled to discovery on basis documents went to reliance and causation and to pleading of reliance - held: defendant's justifications for seeking discovery involved 'erroneous conception of both the case sought to be made out on causation' and principles of causation - defendant granted discovery in terms of amended proposed category further amended by Court - limited discovery granted.

[CTI Joint Venture](#) (I B C G)

Nurisvan Investment Ltd v Anyoption Holdings [2017] VSCA 141

Court of Appeal of Victoria

Osborn, Santamaria & Kaye JJA

Contract - deed - specific performance - first applicant was second applicant's sole shareholder - second applicant was authorised to carry on a financial services business - parties negotiated with view to respondent's purchase from first applicant of its shares in second applicant - second applicant and respondent executed 'Binding Heads of Agreement' - first applicant named as party but did not execute agreement - document provided for parties' entry into 'Share Purchase Agreement' - first applicant advised respondent it did not consider itself

Benchmark

bound by any agreement with respondent and was not obliged to comply with Heads of Agreement - respondent sought specific performance of Heads of Agreement, or draft Share Transfer Agreement - primary judge ordered specific performance of Share Sale Agreement on terms in Heads of Agreement - held: Heads of Agreement was not a contract between parties to enter Share Sale Agreement, but an agreement between parties to negotiate in good faith concerning Share Sale Agreement's conclusion - appeal allowed.

[Nurisvan](#) (I B C G)

Fairbank's Selected Seed Co Pty Ltd v Amar Produce Pty Ltd [2017] VSCA 139

Court of Appeal of Victoria

Maxwell P; Whelan & Santamaria JJA

Trade practices - misleading or deceptive conduct - oral representations - respondent horticulturalist sourced broccoli seedlings from nursery which sourced seeds from appellant - respondent sought advice from nursery as to which seeds were appropriate to plant as seedlings - trial judge found appellant told nursery that 'Ironman' seed was suitable for planting, and that nursery conveyed information to respondent, which directed nursery to purchase and propagate Ironman seeds - nursery had then supplied seedlings to respondent - crop failed - trial judge found appellant engaged in misleading and deceptive conduct by telling nursery that Ironman was suitable for planting and that respondent relied on advice suffering loss - trial judge awarded damages to respondent - ss52 & 53(c) *Trade Practices Act 1974* (Cth) - held: no error in trial judge's findings that appellant conveyed to nursery that Ironman seed suitable for crop, and that nursery conveyed information to respondent - appeal dismissed.

[Fairbank's](#) (I B C G)

Ugarin Pty Ltd v Lockyer Valley Regional Council [2017] QSC 122

Supreme Court of Queensland

Mullins J

Administrative law - planning and environment - applicant sought review of two decisions of respondent concerning differential general rates - first decision was 'to adopt different categories of rateable land and different rates for different categories' - second decision was 'to identify differential general rates category 8 as the rating category' which applicant's land belonged to - ss94, 251, 257 & 259 *Local Government Act 2009* (Qld) - ss80, 81, 88, 91, 92, 93, 154, 155, 169 & 170 *Local Government Regulation 2012* (Qld) - held: applicant failed in challenge to validity of reference to land use codes in both decisions - application dismissed.

[Ugarin](#) (I B C G)

[Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine](#)