Friday 4 June 2010

Benchmark



www.arconolly.com.au

Insurance Banking & Construction A Daily Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia

Search Engine Now Available

<u>Click here</u> to access our new search engine facility to do a search of particular legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

Executive Summary (1 minute read)

HNA Irish Nominee Ltd v Kinghorn - *Corporations Act* 2001 (Cth) - corporate constitution - proper construction of some provisions

Vidler v Commissioner of Taxation - Goods & services tax - "residential premises" - appeal dismissed

Campaign Master (UK) Ltd v Forty Two International Pty Ltd (No 5) - Costs

Beck v Corrs Chambers Westgarth - Amendment of pleadings

Noble Engineering Pty Ltd v Tianjian Noble Boats International Pty Ltd - Application for summary judgment

BHP Billiton Ltd, Wallaby Grip Limited & Ors v Registrar of the Dust Diseases Tribunal of New South Wales & Ors - Costs - constitutional law - s353 Legal Profession Act 2004 (NSW)

Orica Investments v McCartney - Contracts - restraint clause in share sale agreement - calculation of damages

Benchmark



www.arconolly.com.au

Inspector Ian Lancaster v CKR Pty Ltd & Anor - Occupational Health & Safety Act 2000 (NSW) - fatality - foreseeability

Doughty v Martino Developments Pty Ltd - *Transport Accident Act* 1986 (Vic) - whether s93(1) prevents an employer recovering damages per actione per quod servitium amisit for the loss of services of an employee injured as a result of a transport accident occurring on or after commencement of s34 of the Act

Van Gerven v Amaca Pty Ltd & Anor - Personal injuries - limitation of actions - s5(1A) *Limitation of Actions Act* 1958 (Vic) - s20(1A) *Wrongs Act* 1958 (Vic)

Blackman & Ors v Gant & Anor - Injunctions - art works found to be forgeries - *Fair Trading Act* 1999 (Vic)

Solak v Registrar of Titles & Ors (No 3) - Costs - "full costs" - s110(5) *Transfer of Land Act* 1958 (Vic)

Thiess Pty Ltd & John Holland Pty Ltd v Civil Works Australia Pty Ltd & Ors - Building & Construction Industry Payments Act 2004 (Qld) - decision by adjudicator - application dismissed

Summaries with links (5 minute read)

Friday 4 June 2010

HNA Irish Nominee Ltd v Kinghorn [2010] FCAFC 57

Full Court of the Federal Court of Australia

Keane CJ; Jacobson & Rares JJ (in Sydney)

Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) - proper construction of some provisions of constitutions of thirty-five companies in a group referred to as RILA companies - preliminary questions - appeal dismissed.

HNA Irish Nominee

<u>HNA Irish Nominee</u> - decision 31 March 2010 - rights of holders of ordinary shares and holders of preference shares in some thirty five companies - preliminary questions: whether HNA was entitled to vote its preference shares in RILA companies on a resolution proposed by it in a notice of meeting in respect of each of the RILAs; & secondly, if

Benchmark



www.arconolly.com.au

HNA was so entitled, whether the resolution would be effective to vary the rights attached to the ordinary shares without the consent of the holders of the ordinary shares - first question in the negative - in consequence, it was unnecessary to decide second question.

Vidler v Commissioner of Taxation [2010] FCAFC 59

Full Court of the Federal Court of Australia

Sundberg, Bennett & Nicholas JJ (in Sydney)

Goods & services tax - "residential premises" - whether vacant land without living facilities can be residential premises - whether residential zoning & access to services sufficient - for decision appealed from, see 'Benchmark' I & IBC Tuesday 8 December 2009 & link below - appeal dismissed.

Vidler

<u>Vidler</u> - decision 3 December 2009 - *A New Tax System (Goods & Services Tax) Act* 1999 (Cth) - ss40-65 & 195-1 - GST status of vacant land - properties in Ipswich - Administrative Appeals Tribunal had rejected claim that properties were "residential premises" as defined in s195 - application dismissed;

Vidler - decision AAT 1 June 2009

Campaign Master (UK) Ltd v Forty Two International Pty Ltd (No 5) [2010] FCA 545

Federal Court of Australia

Yates J (in Sydney)

Costs - three applications filed in two proceedings were heard together with mixed success for each party - whether applicants' solicitor should be ordered to pay respondents' costs on indemnity basis in respect of one issue on which the respondents were successful - held that each party should bear his or its own costs in relation to the applications.

Campaign Master (UK)

<u>Campaign Master (UK)</u> - decision 30 April 2010: see 'Benchmark' B & IBC Tuesday 4 May 2010 - *Trade Practices Act* 1974 (Cth) - development and marketing of software - application to set aside subpoena to National Australia Bank - respondents claiming relief in respect of alleged infringements of copyright in documents & sound recordings, & breaches of directors' duties both under the *Corporations Act* 2001 (Cth) & at general law with respect to alleged confidential information residing in the documents and sound recordings - also alleging breach of contract - power to set aside interlocutory orders - comprehensive consideration of case law;

<u>Forty Two International</u> - decision 30 April 2010: see 'Benchmark' B & IBC Tuesday 4 May 2010 - *Trade Practices Act* 1974 (Cth) - obligations as to documents produced pursuant to compulsory processes of the Court - development & marketing of software known as "Campaign Master" - implied undertaking - disclosure - striking out - case law from United Kingdom & Australia considered.

Benchmark



www.arconolly.com.au

Beck v Corrs Chambers Westgarth [2010] FCA 552

Federal Court of Australia

Ryan J (in Melbourne)

Application by third cross-claimant for leave to file & serve statement of claim - proceedings in relation to a receivership - proposed amended statement of claim alleging breach of retainer agreement - claim in the alternative for breach of duty - third cross-claimant's application for leave when viewed in light of case law militated against his being allowed to file proposed pleading - cross-claim to be dismissed - detailed consideration of case law as to leave to amend pleadings.

Beck

Noble Engineering Pty Ltd v Tianjian Noble Boats International Pty Ltd [2010] FCA 544

Federal Court of Australia

Reeves J (in Brisbane)

Application by respondent for summary judgment - applicant holder of two registered trade marks relating to design of boat hulls - respondent's application dismissed - security for costs to be provided.

Noble Engineering

BHP Billiton Ltd, Wallaby Grip Limited & Ors v Registrar of the Dust Diseases Tribunal of New South Wales & Ors [2010] NSWSC 562

Supreme Court of New South Wales

Price J

Costs - assessment of costs - constitutional law - legal costs incurred in New South Wales prior to transfer of proceedings to South Australia pursuant to s5 *Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-Vesting) Act* 1987 - whether s353 Legal Profession Act 2004 (NSW) applied to third defendant's application - whether s353(1) *Legal Profession Act* 2004 applied to an order for costs made by District Court of South Australia - s353(1) not to be construed so as to apply to an order for costs made by a court of another State or Territory.

BHP Billiton

Benchmark



www.arconolly.com.au

Orica Investments v McCartney [2010] NSWSC 488

Supreme Court of New South Wales

Ball J

Contracts - restraint clause in share sale agreement - calculation of damages for loss of benefit of a distributorship agreement - for decision 25 June 2007, see 'Benchmark' Tuesday 26 June 2007 - loss of a chance - whether plaintiff had failed to mitigate its loss - damages assessed at \$1,561,245, together with interest on that sum of \$743,452.04, making a total of \$2,304,697.04.

Orica Investments

<u>Orica Investments</u> - decision 25 June 2007 - tort of interfering with contractual relations - Australian & United Kingdom case law considered - prohibition in share sale agreement against enticing away from first plaintiff any customer, supplier or employee of "Restricted Business" - other economic torts.

Inspector Ian Lancaster v CKR Pty Ltd & Anor [2010] NSWIRComm 71

Industrial Court of New South Wales

Backman J

Occupational Health & Safety Act 2000 (NSW) - fall on construction site resulting in death of worker employed by CKR - second defendant principal contractor at the site - reasonable foreseeability - Crimes (Sentencing Procedure) Act 1999 (NSW) - fines imposed.

Inspector Ian Lancaster

Doughty v Martino Developments Pty Ltd [2010] VSCA 121

Court of Appeal of Victoria

Nettle & Mandie JJA & Emerton AJA

Transport Accident Act 1986 (Vic) - whether s93(1) prevents an employer recovering damages per actione per quod servitium amisit for the loss of services of an employee injured as a result of a transport accident occurring on or after commencement of s34 of the Act - for decision appealed from, see 'Benchmark' I & IBC Wednesday 3 December 2008 & link below - appeal allowed - decision below set aside, and in lieu declaration that s93(1), as in force at relevant times, prevented respondent from recovering damages per actione per quod servitium amisit for the loss of services of its employee injured as a result of a transport accident occurring on or after the commencement of s34 of the Act.

Doughty

<u>Martino Developments</u> - decision 27 November 2008 - abrogation of common law rights by statute - preliminary trial of separate questions - plaintiff employer had commenced proceedings in County Court of Victoria claiming damages suffered by it as a result of a motor vehicle accident which caused injury to its employee - statutory

Benchmark



www.arconolly.com.au

construction - Transport Accident Act 1986 (Vic) - cause of action pursued by plaintiff was an action *per quod servitium amisit* -whether *per quod servitium amisit* actions extinguished in relation to transport accidents - questions answered as follows: (a) is the claim pursued by the plaintiff in the proceeding extinguished? answer 'no' - (b) is the plaintiff precluded from recovering damages from the defendant? answer 'no.'

Van Gerven v Amaca Pty Ltd & Anor [2010] VSC 236

Supreme Court of Victoria

Williams J

Personal injuries - limitation of actions - claim for damages for dust-related condition by executrix on behalf of deceased's estate - interpretation of s5(1A) *Limitation of Actions Act* 1958 (Vic) - s20(1A) *Wrongs Act* 1958 (Vic) - whether statutory provisions require knowledge of causal nexus between the injury & an act or omission of prospective defendant, as opposed to an act or omission of some unidentified person - Her Honour not persuaded that the term 'some person' in s5(1A)(b) *Limitation of Actions Act* & s20(1A)(b) *Wrongs Act* (as they were) refers to prospective defendant.

Van Gerven

Blackman & Ors v Gant & Anor [2010] VSC 229

Supreme Court of Victoria

Vickery J

Injunctions - art works found to be forgeries - *Fair Trading Act* 1999 (Vic) - representations as to authenticity of art works through valuations given - representation as to authenticity of art work by sale of work - representations misleading & deceptive in trade or commerce - statutory negative injunction restraining sale of the forged art works - plaintiffs not parties to initial representation - standing to seek injunction - s80(1) *Trade Practices Act* 1974 (Cth) compared - scope & meaning of "any person" - whether sufficient nexus between conduct & injunction sought to confer jurisdiction on the Court - injunction refused as found unnecessary due to alternative relief granted - statutory mandatory injunction for delivery up & destruction of forged art works.

Blackman

Solak v Registrar of Titles & Ors (No 3) [2010] VSC 235

Supreme Court of Victoria

Davies I

Costs - "full costs" - Registrar's argument that s110(5) *Transfer of Land Act* 1958 (Vic) entitled him to indemnity costs rejected - joinder of third parties reasonable.

Benchmark ARCONOLLY&COMPANY WWW.arconoll WWw.arconoll



Solak

Solak - decision 21 April 2010 - summary dismissal.

Thiess Pty Ltd & John Holland Pty Ltd v Civil Works Australia Pty Ltd & Ors [2010] QSC 187

Supreme Court of Queensland

Daubney J

Building & Construction Industry Payments Act 2004 (Qld) - payment claim -contract for performance of excavation works - decision by adjudicator - application dismissed.

Theiss

Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine