

Wednesday, 21 October 2015

## Daily Banking A Daily Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia

 Follow @Benchmark\_Legal

### Search Engine

[Click here](#) to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

### Executive Summary (1 minute read)

**Allianz Australia Insurance Ltd v Rutland** (NSWCA) - motor accidents compensation - psychiatric injury - denial of procedural fairness - failure to exercise statutory function - decision of Medical Review Panel set aside - appeal dismissed

**Broadlex Services v RCR Resolve FM** (NSWSC) - contract - estoppel - damages - contract for supply of cleaning services - plaintiff entitled to value of outstanding invoices - defendant entitled to verdict on cross-claim

**Abdul-Rahman v WorkCover Authority of NSW** (NSWSC) - workers compensation insurance - Authority's cause of action barred by s18 *Limitation Act 1969* (NSW) - appeal allowed

**NSW Trustee & Guardian; Estate of the Late Jan Szczudlik** (NSWSC) - wills and estates - intestacy - identity of persons to whom deceased's estate should be distributed - orders for distribution of deceased's estate

**Semmler v Todd** (VSC) - testator's family maintenance - application by domestic partner of deceased for further provision from deceased's estate - application dismissed

## Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

### **Allianz Australia Insurance Ltd v Rutland [2015] NSWCA 328**

Court of Appeal of New South Wales

McColl & Meagher JJA; Macfarlan JA

Motor accidents compensation - respondent's younger sister killed in motorcycle accident - respondent sued insurer for psychiatric injury and nervous shock - respondent challenged decision of Medical Review Panel to set aside medical Assessor's certificate and certify that respondent's whole person impairment was not greater than 10% - primary judge found Review Panel failed to discharge its statutory function pursuant to s63 *Motor Accidents Compensation Act 1999* (NSW) because it failed to assess all matters afresh in respect of respondent's whole person impairment - primary judge found Review Panel failed to cause enquiries to be made of claimant as to what her job duties actually involved and failed to accord procedural fairness - primary judge set aside certificate of Review Panel - held: primary judge did not err in concluding that Review Panel failed to exercise its statutory function, or in concluding respondent denied procedural fairness in relation to assessment of extent of impairment - appeal dismissed.

[Allianz](#)

### **Broadlex Services v RCR Resolve FM [2015] NSWSC 1514**

Supreme Court of New South Wales

McDougall J

Contract - estoppel - damages - plaintiff contracted with defendant to supply cleaning services at centre and facility - defendant had not paid plaintiff value of invoices for services - plaintiff did not claim services not performed or not performed appropriately - defendant defended claim by confession and avoidance together with claimed set off and a cross-claim - construction of contract - conventional estoppel - "omitted services" - damages in respect of omitted services - standard of performance - loss - held: plaintiff succeeded in claim to be paid value of outstanding invoices and claim to interest - defendant entitled to verdict on cross-claim and interest.

[Broadlex](#)

### **Abdul-Rahman v WorkCover Authority of NSW [2015] NSWSC 1483**

Supreme Court of New South Wales

Hamill J

Workers compensation insurance - appellant sought to appeal under s53(3) *Crimes (Appeal and Review) Act 2001* (NSW) from Magistrate's decision that Local Court had jurisdiction to determine statement of claim brought by WorkCover Authority of New South Wales pursuant to s156(1) *Workers Compensation Act 1987* (NSW) (*Workers Compensation Act*) which alleged appellant failed to maintain current policy of workers compensation insurance in breach of s155(1) *Workers Compensation Act* - appellant contended cause of action statute barred by s 247 *Workplace Injury Management and Workers Compensation Act 1998* (NSW) or 18

*Limitation Act 1969 (NSW) (Limitation Act) - time at which debt under s156(1) Workers Compensation Act accrued to Authority for purpose of s18 Limitation Act - held: cause of action accrued when appellant (allegedly) committed breach of s155(1) which was 31 March 2012 at latest - cause of action accrued to Authority more than two years prior to commencement of proceedings - cause of action barred by s18 Limitation Act - appeal upheld.*

[Abdul-Rahman](#)

## **NSW Trustee & Guardian; Estate of the Late Jan Szczudlik [2015] NSWSC 1529**

Supreme Court of New South Wales

Hallen J

Wills and estates - plaintiff sought orders as to distribution of deceased's estate - identity of persons to whom deceased's estate should be distributed - operation of intestacy rules - ss61A - 61F *Wills Probate and Administration Act 1898 (NSW)* - facts going to distribution - held: Court satisfied plaintiff carried out necessary and proper investigations to determine persons entitled on intestacy to deceased's estate. - Court satisfied it was probable persons entitled on intestacy ascertained and no reasonable further inquiries could be made to improve state of evidence - orders made.

[NSW Trustee & Guardian](#)

## **Semmler v Todd [2015] VSC 567**

Supreme Court of Victoria

Zammit J

Testator's family maintenance - plaintiff domestic partner of deceased sought order for further provision out of deceased's estate pursuant to Pt IV *Administration and Probate Act 1958 (Vic)* - consideration of criteria under s91 - overarching test for settlement claim - legal responsibility and moral duty of deceased towards claimant and any other relevant person - freedom of testation - held: given circumstances of case including nature of relationship between deceased and plaintiff, plaintiff's financial need, size of estate and beneficiaries' financial position, Court did not consider it should interfere with freedom of testation - application dismissed.

[Semmler](#)

[Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine](#)