



Wednesday, 6 May 2015

Daily Insurance A Daily Bulletin listing Decisions of Superior Courts of Australia

 Follow @Benchmark_Legal

Search Engine

[Click here](#) to access our search engine facility to search legal issues, case names, courts and judges. Simply type in a keyword or phrase and all relevant cases that we have reported in Benchmark since its inception in June 2007 will be available with links to each case.

Executive Summary (1 minute read)

Philip v JPM Developments Pty Ltd (NSWSC) - judgments and orders - declaratory and injunctive orders - costs order against non-party

Haritos v Commissioner of Taxation (VSCA) - declaratory relief - pleadings - beneficial ownership of shares in company - appeal dismissed

Haque v State of Victoria (VSCA) - false imprisonment - defamation - no real prospects of success on appeal - leave to appeal refused

Mules v Ferguson (QCA) - damages - appellant successful on appeal - interest on damages payable from date of judgment in Court of Appeal, not from date of judgment at first instance

Summaries With Link (Five Minute Read)

Philip v JPM Developments Pty Ltd [2015] NSWSC 495

Supreme Court of New South Wales

Sackar J

Judgments and orders - costs against non-party - Court gave judgment in proceedings - parties submitted proposed declaratory and injunctive orders - plaintiff also claimed sole director and shareholder of defendant be responsible for costs of litigation in event defendant was unable to pay - defendant accepted that as unsuccessful party it should pay costs on ordinary basis - s98(1) *Civil Procedure Act 2005* - held: proposed orders too broad and did not reflect way in which case conducted and decided - form of orders restricted - Court satisfied in circumstances that director should be responsible for costs of proceedings if defendant unable to pay

[Philip](#)

Haritos v Commissioner of Taxation [2015] VSCA 79

Court of Appeal of Victoria

Osborn, Ferguson & Kaye JJA

Declaratory relief - pleadings - primary judge granted Commissioner declaration that fourth defendant was beneficial owner of shares in company - primary judge also made further declaration that purported declarations of trust made by appellants with respect to the shares were void, invalid and of no effect - appellants contended trial judge failed to properly take into account evidence of an accountant in respect of first declaration - held: Court not persuaded primary judge relevantly disregarded evidence in issue, nor that it established error in conclusions - primary judge did not err in refusing leave to further amend defence - appeal dismissed.

[Haritos](#)

Haque v State of Victoria [2015] VSCA 83

Court of Appeal of Victoria

Osborn & Beach JJA

False imprisonment - defamation - applicant sued State alleging causes of action in assault, battery, false imprisonment and defamation - County Court dismissed proceeding and entered judgment for State - applicant sought leave to appeal - held: no error in primary judge's acceptance of constable's evidence that he told applicant he was being arrested pursuant to outstanding warrant - no error in conclusions that arrest warrant valid and outstanding and that arrest neither wrongful nor unlawful - ss461(1) & 461(2) *Crimes Act 1958* did not operate to make arrest unlawful - no error in conclusion it was reasonable for police to place applicant in handcuffs - no error in dismissal of defamation claim or in fact-finding analysis - grounds of appeal without merit - Court not satisfied appeal had real prospect of success - leave to appeal refused.

[Haque](#)



Mules v Ferguson [2015] QCA 77

Court of Appeal of Queensland

M McMurdo P, Applegarth & Boddice JJ

Damages - interest on judgment sum - Court allowed appellant's appeal - Court entered judgment for appellant in amount of damages assessed by trial judge "together with interests thereon" - appellant contended interest payable on entire judgment sum calculated from date of judgment at first instance - ss58(3) & 59(2) *Civil Proceedings Act 2011* - held: appellant had failed at first instance - appellant had received benefit of substantial award of damages as consequence of successful appeal - nothing in respondent's conduct or appellant's circumstances justified judgment operating from date of initial determination such that respondent would suffer consequence of having to pay significant additional sum of interest - interest should only be payable from date on which judgment in appellant's favour pronounced in Court of Appeal.

[Mules](#)

[Click Here to access our Benchmark Search Engine](#)